

DOCKET NO. SC11773TH

**REMARKS**

Claims 13-17, 19, 20, 21-31, 33-36, 39-49, and 54-57 are pending in the current Application upon entering this amendment. Claims 1-12, 18, 32, 37, 38, and 50-53 have been canceled. Claims 13, 19, 33-35, and 36 have been amended. Applicant submits that the amendments do not add new matter to the current Application. All the amendments made herein have been made in order to clarify the claims and not for prior art reasons. Applicant also submits that (1) no amendment was made related to statutory requirements unless expressly stated herein, and (2) no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

**Election/Restriction**

Claims 50 – 53 have been canceled as a result of the restriction requirement by the Examiner. Claims 1 – 49 have been orally elected with traverse.

**Claim Objections**

In response to the objection regarding claims 13-17 and 36, as per the Examiner's request, claim 13 has been rewritten in independent form to include the limitations of claim 12 and should therefore be considered allowable. Claims 14-17 depend directly or indirectly on allowable claim 13 and should therefore be considered allowable. Also per Examiner's request, claim 36 has been rewritten in dependent form to include the limitations of claim 32 and should therefore be considered allowable.

**Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)**

Claims 1-12, 18-20, and 32-38 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Rao et al U.S. patent 6,661,752.

Claims 1-12, 18, 32, 37, and 38 have been canceled. Claim 19 has been amended to depend directly or indirectly on allowable claim 13 and should therefore be considered allowable. Claim 20 depends on amended claim 19 and should therefore be considered

DOCKET NO. SC11773TH

allowable. Claim 32 has been canceled. Claim 36 has been rewritten in dependent form to include the limitations of claim 32 and should therefore be considered allowable. Claims 33-35 have been amended to depend directly or indirectly on allowable claim 36 and should therefore be considered allowable.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-31, 39-49, and 54-57 are allowable over the prior art. Claims 13-17 and 36 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but should now be considered allowable since claims 13 and 36 have been rewritten in independent form. In addition, since claims 19, 20, and 33-35 depend directly or indirectly on rewritten claims 13 or 36, claims 19, 20, and 33-35 should therefore be considered allowable.

Conclusion

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney at the number indicated below so that prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Accordingly, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.


If Applicant has overlooked any additional fees, or if any overpayment has been made, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit or debit Deposit Account 503079.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Freescall Semiconductor, Inc.  
Law Department

Customer Number: 23125

By:   
Susan C. Hill  
Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 35,896  
Telephone: (512) 996-6839  
Fax No.: (512) 996-6854  
Email: susan.hill@freescall.com